UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,172	10/25/2006	Rainer Uecker	2003P13562WOUS	4104
22116 7590 04/13/2010 SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 170 WOOD AVENUE SOUTH ISELIN, NJ 08830			EXAMINER	
			FAN, HUA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2456	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/574,172	UECKER, RAINER		
Examiner induced interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	HUA FAN	2456		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>121</u>	•		
(1) <u>HUA FAN</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Ralph G. Fischer</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 8 April 2010	Time: <u>11am</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A				
Claims discussed: 16 and 23				
Prior art documents discussed: N/A				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner initiated the interview to suggest potential allowable subject matter. The applicant is checking with his client and will let us know early next week (April. 12)				
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
/Rupal D. Dharia/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2400				
(A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		